

Exposing Obama II: Intentionally Lost in Translation. Who are the Children?

The first cry of “here comes the wolf” was the statement that “if we default there will be catastrophic consequences for the economy and the country”. When that gross exaggeration (or calling it by its name: untruth, or lie) was exposed and it became clear that this was not a feasible outcome, the Obama chorus changed its tune and came up with new and improved threats. Threats that now involve seniors, retirees, and “the most vulnerable”.

These are also untrue.

“Fool me once...” ...

Yet a large portion of the American People continues to be fooled by the mischaracterizations of the White House (with the help of the ever-subordinated media) and the intentional confusion it creates.

Remember not too long ago when they described the war in Libya as “kinetic military action”? Well, this “a speech for every problem” and “a euphemism for every concept we won’t describe accurately” crowd did not stop there.

They have created this new lingo, this “Obama-talk” aimed at misleading the average American hiding the true agenda of the current administration. Taxes are now called “revenues” and government spending is now labeled “investment”; the “adults” are now the “children” when in truth the “children” are really the “adults”. Needed policies are now “concessions” while real recovery policies are now “obstacles to win the future”.

But they don’t stop with the Obama re-definition thesaurus, no.

They are also all-out distorting the facts and worse, the true intentions behind their liberal tax-and-spend strategy.

Lets see how:

The president has undertaken the role of lecturer-in-chief and makes sure to remind us every single day that Republicans are not taking the debt-ceiling negotiations seriously because they refuse to “put revenues on the table”.

Translation: Republicans don’t accept tax increases as part of any deal, much less in times when what we need is to incentivize job creators and private investment.

He then tells the American People that they, the Democrats, are so generous that they are “even considering cuts in Medicare, Medicaid, and Social Security” all items that we know very well are unsustainable and inviable in their current form.

In other words, since Republicans are not agreeing to raise taxes and are demanding the restructuring of these failed programs, Democrats have to reframe the issue and tell us that Republicans are the ones “jeopardizing the way to win the future”. Democrats, in turn, are defined as completely right when demanding higher taxes and continued runaway spending.

EXCUSE ME????

Republicans are actually the ones who are looking ahead and trying to solve the root of our economic maladies by:

- a) maintaining a tax structure that does not punish entrepreneurship,
- b) reducing excess government spending,
- c) adjusting unfunded mandated liabilities to our new realities, and
- d) demanding a commitment to balance the budget.

All of these actions that would give certainty to employers and investors, would strengthen our credit ratings, and would guarantee low interest rates that translate into affordable funds for capital to be invested in productive activities.

But according to the Obama White House, pushing for this is *acting like children*.

To him and the media, the “adult” way of facing the challenges ahead is to tax more so that this government can continue on its out-of-control spending path and to increase the debt ceiling so that we can borrow even more and therefore make us even more dependent on the whim of creditors like China.

Once again it is all a matter of reading between the Obama lines.

Obama is using the debt ceiling negotiation process to push for BOTH increasing taxes AND continued spending. Remember: Geithner himself said that unless the ceiling is lifted, the government would have no money “to fund its programs”.

Translation: Far from trying to correct the dangerous and irresponsible trend of tax-and-spend, what they are actually doing is using this debt ceiling process to serve themselves with more of the same.

All of this of course not even considering the fear-mongering strategy of threatening people with threats of “having to choose between stopping social security payments to seniors or paying “the Chinese”.

Oh, the paradox! On the one hand Obama demonizes creditors while on the other hand he insists on raising the country’s indebtedness yielding yet even more power to them by asking for more of their money.

But THEY are the adults?

Needless to say, Obama would never tell us that before stopping social security payments he could stop funding items like NPR or Planned Parenthood. He will never mention (and the media will never ask) that there is plenty of waste, duplicities, and unnecessary expenditures that the government could (and should) cut. He won't say that part of the continuing spending spree has to do with extending unemployment benefits and food stamp programs, none of which stimulate economic activity in any way, shape or form.

As always, he much rather lecture us and talk only about extremes that are real only to him but that through code-wording and media coordination eventually become "real" in the people's minds.

They, the ones that manipulate facts and hide what truly lies behind their regressive agenda, present themselves as "the adults" and worse, get away with it!

But there is more:

When they are finally confronted with the fact that Medicare, Medicaid and Social Security are dysfunctional programs in dire need of reform, they choose again to use this to their advantage by telling the American People that they are SO GENEROUS that they are even willing to put those items "that are so dear to Democrats" on the table.

Instead, the inevitability to put those items in order is used as a marketing-campaign tool to manipulate public opinion and make it appear as if it is not a must but a concession *they* are making to accommodate those nasty Republican kids who won't eat their peas.

So lets summarize:

Republicans want to balance the budget, reduce excessive government spending, make the unfunded liabilities viable again, and maintain a tax structure that favors job creation. But they are the children.

Democrats want to raise taxes, continue spending, raise our indebtedness, and "maybe" be generous and do some work on inviable unfunded liabilities. But they are the adults.

Well, the "children approach" would actually result in a leaner government structure that would force efficiencies and increased productivity; it would eventually achieve a balanced budget that would effectively stop the hemorrhage of never-ending borrowing; it would strengthen our credit standing and as a result would guarantee low interest rates; and it would promote private investment in productive and job-creating activities.

The "adult approach" would perpetuate the flow of red ink, would increase our indebtedness, would cause the servicing of such debt to become increasingly onerous, would keep private investment and job creation at bay, and would increase the size of an already bloated government.

Of course, Obama makes it sound as if it is the other way around because he is a master at phrasing his agenda in ways that either confuse or scare the American People.

We Republicans must start translating this Obama-talk for the People that are being so pathetically misled;

We must explain clearly and compare in simple terms what the two agendas are and where each would lead us.

We have to become the antidote to the Obama distortions so that Americans can see who the real children and who the real adults are; who is really for economic soundness and who is completely committed to the FAILED POLICIES OF THE PRESENT.

Rafael Elias

Political Analysis and Economic Studies

July 13, 2011